## DELTA STATE UNIVERSITY

Office of Institutional Research, Effectiveness, and Planning

Fall 2023 Student Evaluation of Instruction						
Library						
Online Instructional Method						
		Standard				
<b>Evaluation Questions - Course Items:</b>	Mean	Deviation	<b># of Classes Evaluated</b>			
The syllabus clearly defined student-learning outcomes for the						
online course.	3.67	2.31	1			
The content of the online course was relevant to the course						
objectives/learning outcomes of the online course.	3.67	2.31	1			
The assignments and other class activities were of instructional						
value.	3.67	2.31	1			
Learning activities in this online course fostered student-						
instructor communication and/or collaboration, student-student						
interaction/collaboration, and student content interaction.	3.67	2.31	1			
Content is sequenced and structured in a manner that enables	2 (7					
students to achieve the stated goals.	3.67	2.31	1			
My understanding of the subject increased because of this online	2 (7					
course.	3.67	2.31	1			
		Standard				
Fuglication Ougstions - Instructor Itoms						
<b>Evaluation Questions - Instructor Items:</b>	Mean	Deviation	# of Classes Evaluated			
The instructor's materials were well organized.	3.67	2.31	# of Classes Evaluated   1			
The instructor's materials were well organized. The instructor adequately explained confusing/complex material.	3.67 3.00	2.31 2.00	# of Classes Evaluated     1     1			
The instructor's materials were well organized. The instructor adequately explained confusing/complex material. The instructor treated students fairly.	3.67	2.31	1			
The instructor's materials were well organized. The instructor adequately explained confusing/complex material.	3.67 3.00	2.31 2.00	1			
The instructor's materials were well organized. The instructor adequately explained confusing/complex material. The instructor treated students fairly.	3.67 3.00 2.00	2.31 2.00 1.41	1 1 1			
The instructor's materials were well organized. The instructor adequately explained confusing/complex material. The instructor treated students fairly. The instructor's grading criteria were clearly defined. The instructor returned graded work and provided feedback on assignments in a timely manner.	3.67 3.00 2.00	2.31 2.00 1.41	1 1 1			
The instructor's materials were well organized. The instructor adequately explained confusing/complex material. The instructor treated students fairly. The instructor's grading criteria were clearly defined. The instructor returned graded work and provided feedback on assignments in a timely manner. The instructor responded to my email inquiries within two	3.67 3.00 2.00 3.00 3.67	2.31 2.00 1.41 2.00 2.31	1 1 1 1			
The instructor's materials were well organized. The instructor adequately explained confusing/complex material. The instructor treated students fairly. The instructor's grading criteria were clearly defined. The instructor returned graded work and provided feedback on assignments in a timely manner. The instructor responded to my email inquiries within two business days, with sensitivity to assignment deadlines.	3.67 3.00 2.00 3.00	2.31 2.00 1.41 2.00	1 1 1 1			
The instructor's materials were well organized. The instructor adequately explained confusing/complex material. The instructor treated students fairly. The instructor's grading criteria were clearly defined. The instructor returned graded work and provided feedback on assignments in a timely manner. The instructor responded to my email inquiries within two business days, with sensitivity to assignment deadlines. Substantial feedback on assignments was provided via explicit	3.67 3.00 2.00 3.00 3.67	2.31 2.00 1.41 2.00 2.31	1 1 1 1 1			
The instructor's materials were well organized. The instructor adequately explained confusing/complex material. The instructor treated students fairly. The instructor's grading criteria were clearly defined. The instructor returned graded work and provided feedback on assignments in a timely manner. The instructor responded to my email inquiries within two business days, with sensitivity to assignment deadlines. Substantial feedback on assignments was provided via explicit rubrics, grading explanation, and/or detailed commentary for	3.67 3.00 2.00 3.00 3.67 3.67	2.31 2.00 1.41 2.00 2.31 2.31	1 1 1 1 1			
The instructor's materials were well organized. The instructor adequately explained confusing/complex material. The instructor treated students fairly. The instructor's grading criteria were clearly defined. The instructor returned graded work and provided feedback on assignments in a timely manner. The instructor responded to my email inquiries within two business days, with sensitivity to assignment deadlines. Substantial feedback on assignments was provided via explicit rubrics, grading explanation, and/or detailed commentary for each graded assignment or test.	3.67 3.00 2.00 3.00 3.67	2.31 2.00 1.41 2.00 2.31	1 1 1 1 1			
The instructor's materials were well organized. The instructor adequately explained confusing/complex material. The instructor treated students fairly. The instructor's grading criteria were clearly defined. The instructor returned graded work and provided feedback on assignments in a timely manner. The instructor responded to my email inquiries within two business days, with sensitivity to assignment deadlines. Substantial feedback on assignments was provided via explicit rubrics, grading explanation, and/or detailed commentary for	3.67 3.00 2.00 3.00 3.67 3.67 3.67	2.31 2.00 1.41 2.00 2.31 2.31 2.31	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
The instructor's materials were well organized. The instructor adequately explained confusing/complex material. The instructor treated students fairly. The instructor's grading criteria were clearly defined. The instructor returned graded work and provided feedback on assignments in a timely manner. The instructor responded to my email inquiries within two business days, with sensitivity to assignment deadlines. Substantial feedback on assignments was provided via explicit rubrics, grading explanation, and/or detailed commentary for each graded assignment or test. I felt the instructor was engaged with me during this online course.	3.67 3.00 2.00 3.00 3.67 3.67	2.31 2.00 1.41 2.00 2.31 2.31	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
The instructor's materials were well organized. The instructor adequately explained confusing/complex material. The instructor treated students fairly. The instructor's grading criteria were clearly defined. The instructor returned graded work and provided feedback on assignments in a timely manner. The instructor responded to my email inquiries within two business days, with sensitivity to assignment deadlines. Substantial feedback on assignments was provided via explicit rubrics, grading explanation, and/or detailed commentary for each graded assignment or test. I felt the instructor was engaged with me during this online course. The instructor was available outside of class as stated in the	3.67 3.00 2.00 3.00 3.67 3.67 3.67 3.67	2.31 2.00 1.41 2.00 2.31 2.31 2.31 2.31	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
The instructor's materials were well organized. The instructor adequately explained confusing/complex material. The instructor treated students fairly. The instructor's grading criteria were clearly defined. The instructor returned graded work and provided feedback on assignments in a timely manner. The instructor responded to my email inquiries within two business days, with sensitivity to assignment deadlines. Substantial feedback on assignments was provided via explicit rubrics, grading explanation, and/or detailed commentary for each graded assignment or test. I felt the instructor was engaged with me during this online course. The instructor was available outside of class as stated in the syllabus.	3.67 3.00 2.00 3.00 3.67 3.67 3.67 3.67 3.67	2.31 2.00 1.41 2.00 2.31 2.31 2.31 2.31 2.31	1       1			
The instructor's materials were well organized. The instructor adequately explained confusing/complex material. The instructor treated students fairly. The instructor's grading criteria were clearly defined. The instructor returned graded work and provided feedback on assignments in a timely manner. The instructor responded to my email inquiries within two business days, with sensitivity to assignment deadlines. Substantial feedback on assignments was provided via explicit rubrics, grading explanation, and/or detailed commentary for each graded assignment or test. I felt the instructor was engaged with me during this online course. The instructor was available outside of class as stated in the syllabus. I would recommend this instructor to others.	3.67 3.00 2.00 3.00 3.67 3.67 3.67 3.67	2.31 2.00 1.41 2.00 2.31 2.31 2.31 2.31	1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1			
The instructor's materials were well organized. The instructor adequately explained confusing/complex material. The instructor treated students fairly. The instructor's grading criteria were clearly defined. The instructor returned graded work and provided feedback on assignments in a timely manner. The instructor responded to my email inquiries within two business days, with sensitivity to assignment deadlines. Substantial feedback on assignments was provided via explicit rubrics, grading explanation, and/or detailed commentary for each graded assignment or test. I felt the instructor was engaged with me during this online course. The instructor was available outside of class as stated in the syllabus.	3.67 3.00 2.00 3.00 3.67 3.67 3.67 3.67 3.67	2.31 2.00 1.41 2.00 2.31 2.31 2.31 2.31 2.31	1       1			

## DELTA STATE UNIVERSITY

Office of Institutional Research, Effectiveness, and Planning

Fall 2023 Student Evaluation of Instruction Library Online Instructional Method					
Evaluation Questions - Textbook Items:	Mean	Standard Deviation	# of Classes Evaluated		
The assigned textbook was valuable to me in learning the material for this online class.	4.00	0.00	1		
The textbook(s) for this online course were readily available.	2.00	0.00	1		